	Case 3:07-cv-02953-SI Document 8	Filed 06/21/2007 Page 1 of 3	
1			
2	LINGEL H. WINTERS, ESQ. (SBN 37759 LAW OFFICES OF LINGEL H. WINTER	9)	
3	A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION One Maritime Plaza, Suite 400		
4	San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: (415) 398-2941		
5	Facsimile: (415) 393-9887		
6	GIRARDI & KEESE THOMAS V. GIRARDI (SBN 36603)		
7	1126 Wilshire Blvd. Los Angeles, CA 90017-1904		
8	Telephone (213) 977-0211		
9	Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Putative Class		
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
11	NORTHERN D	ISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
12		1	
13	IN DE TET I CD (ELAT	MDL No. M:07-ev-01827 SI	
14	IN RE TFT-LCD (FLAT PANEL)ANTITRUST LITIGATION	Case No. C-07-cv-2796 SI	
15		CLASS ACTION	
	EMW, Inc. v. LG Philips LCD CO., LTD e. Case No. C-07-2796 SI	t al. MOTION FOR ORDER SHORTENING TIME	
17 18	THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO	Date: July 10, 2007 Time: 10:00 a.m.	
19	ALL INDIRECT PURCHASER ACTION	Hon. Susan Illston Courtroom: 10	
20			
21			
22 23	Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 6-3, plaintiff and moving party EMW, Inc. moves the Court for an		
24	order shortening time to hear plaintiff's Motion To Appoint Interim Class Counsel For the		
25	California Indirect Purchasers Subgroup.		
26	On June 4, 2007, plaintiff EMW, Inc. filed its Reply Memorandum Indirect Purchaser		
27	Leadership Proposal of Lingel H. Winters P.C. in the belief that the hearing on various class		
28			
		1	
		<u> </u>	

MOTION FOR ORDER SHORTING TIME

motions was firmly set for June 8, 2007 and that there was no further time in which to take action. On June 5, 2007, the Court continued the motions to July 10, 2007. With this continuance in hand, plaintiff undertook to engage co-counsel to join in filing its Motion To Appoint Interim Class Counsel For the California Indirect Purchasers Subgroup. On June 18, Thomas V. Girardi of Girardi & Keese agreed to join as co-counsel and plaintiff set about finalizing said Motion. It is believed that said Motion will simplify the case and increase the manageability and judicial economy for the case, and that therefore, it is in the interest of all parties and the Court for said Motion to be heard on July 10, 2007.

Substantial harm or prejudice will occur if the time for hearing the motion is not shortened in several regards:

- 1) The Court and putative classes will be deprived of the appropriate competition among law firms for service to them.
- 2) The manageability of the indirect purchasers action may be adversely affected by consolidated representation of claimants claiming under the laws of 20-30 different states. The Motion contends that manageability and commonality can only be achieved by subgrouping the various claims.

Some counsel claim that claimants claiming under the differing laws of 20-30 states may be represented by one consolidated group of attorneys. Plaintiff contends that such representation could undermine commonality and predominance and lead to conflicts. Plaintiff contends that there is a natural subgrouping that arises from the complaint in *Eliasoph v. LG Philips Co, Ltd. et al.*, breaks the claimants down into three natural groups:

- 1) Subgroup One: California Claims (Eliasoph Second, Third Claims)
 - SubGroup Two: Claims under Other Repealer States with laws involving "Violations of State Antitrust and Unfair Competition

	and one of the production of t	
1	Laws" – Eliasoph Fourth Claim)	
2	3) Subgroup Three: Claims under State laws claimed as "Violations of	
3	State Consumer Protection and Unfair Competition Laws – <i>Eliasoph</i>	
4	Fifth Claim.	
5	In such cases as <i>Walsh v. Ford Motor Co.</i> (D.C. Cir. 1986) 807 F.2d 1000, 1017 and <i>In Re</i>	
6	School Asbestos Litigation 789 F.2d 996 (3 rd Cir. 1986), the Courts concluded various and	
7		
8	differing state claims may satisfy the predominance and commonality requirement where	
9	subgrouping of such State claims in employed.	
10	The Motion also contends that such subgrouping minimizes conflicts.	
12	Since multiple parties are involved in this complex action, the best notice is by ECF and it	
13	would be impractical to seek stipulation.	
14	WHEFORE plaintiff prays for an Order Shortening Time for plaintiff's Motion to Appoint	
15	Interim Class Counsel For the California Indirect Purchasers Subgroup.	
16		
17	Dated: June 21, 2007 LAW OFFICES OF LINGEL H. WINTERS	
18	A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION	
19	$\int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} dt dt$	
20	By: Weel Winters	
21	Lingel H. Winters	
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

MOTION FOR ORDER SHORTING TIME

Case 3:07-cv-02953-SI Document 8 Filed 06/21/2007 Page 3 of 3